



The Project

- National CRUK project to help support primary care in driving up quality and improving cancer outcomes.
- Piloted for 4 years to test model
- Dedicated facilitator resource working with Primary Care Cancer Leads to engage in face to face discussions with practices about early diagnosis.
- Working closely with Public Health, Clinical Leads and the CCG to support the delivery of strategic aims and objectives around the cancer agenda.
- Program to cover the nation by mid 2016




The benefits

- A dedicated facilitator for Practices who can act as a single point of contact for 'early diagnosis' issues.
- Joining up local cancer activities to ensure there is consistency and a streamlined approach to cancer work.
- Contribution to training, appraisal, personal development and QOF.
- Reduced risk of a missed diagnosis.
- The potential for reduction in emergency admissions with cancer presentations and associated costs.
- Improves patient experience and outcomes.



The practice visit

- Supportive/in depth discussion with practices on cancer outcomes using a range of tools including:
 - 'Practice Profiles' / Willie Hamilton's Risk Assessment Tool for colorectal and lung cancer / RCGP Primary Care Cancer Audit / Significant Event Audit/Safety netting
- Training sessions for all practice staff
- Sharing best practice
- Not part of performance management nor reporting structures


Indicator (Date or Proportion to bracket)	Practitioner indicator value	Target 2015 indicator value	Target 2016 indicator value	Target 2017 indicator value	Current value	Target range	Progress
1 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	2205	16.5%	16.5%	17.4%	16.2%	16.4%	15%
2 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	Quintile 4	16.0%	15.4%	16.4%	26.9%	15.4%	80%
3 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	76	57%	450	74	505	475	89%
4 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	41	24%	201	401	205	214	44%
5 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	328	2.5%	2.2%	2.7%	1.7%	1.9%	64%
6 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	1073	69.9%	67.7%	72.5%	67.7%	72.5%	88.0%
7 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	29	72.5%	57.2%	63.9%	78.6%	74.2%	90%
8 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	1610	77.2%	74.6%	79.6%	76.2%	78.6%	95.4%
9 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	275	14.4%	11.7%	12.7%	12.7%	11.6%	25.4%
10 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	275	14.4%	11.7%	12.7%	12.7%	11.6%	25.4%
11 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	60	17.7%	15.1%	17.0%	16.1%	16.1%	100%
12 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	107	17.7%	15.1%	17.0%	16.1%	16.1%	100%
13 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	44	8.5%	7.2%	8.4%	8.6%	8.6%	100%
14 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	64	57.8%	46.5%	67.2%	47.2%	46.5%	16.8%
15 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	53	29%	29%	50%	42%	27%	82%
16 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	107	89%	62%	37%	32%	32%	67%
17 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	18	14%	6%	22%	6%	7%	14%
18 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	75	56%	44%	70%	40%	24%	56%
19 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	34	70%	67%	64%	52%	62%	62%
20 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	21	23%	19%	33%	40%	41%	97%
21 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	288	219%	192%	243%	19%	19%	59%
22 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	65	63%	50%	70%	60%	50%	90%
23 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	25	33.8%	24.6%	45.5%	38.6%	23.7%	3.5%
24 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	38	54.6%	49.2%	62.4%	46.2%	49.2%	17.8%
25 Practice Profiles (all 100% of practices in the practice group)	18	14.9%	8.5%	24.7%	25.5%	27.7%	5.2%



The wider strategic approach

- Working with CCG and localities
- Supporting local education events and Learning Events
- 'Structural' approach to improving diagnosis rates through local initiatives
- Local cohesive approach to screening (inc. CRUK campaign)
- Feeding back initiatives to this council
- GM ACE work / Devo Manc / national etc.





- New NICE guidance workshops
 - Safety netting support
- 

How can I help you?



THANK YOU

cruk.org

Steve Jones – Health Professional Engagement Facilitator
Steve.jones@cancer.org.uk
Tel: 07501 227 095

